

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. RD-27,100 8695 09/20/2000 James Claude Carnahan 09/665,767 09/12/2003 25101 7590 PHILIP D FREEDMAN, PC **EXAMINER** 6000 WESTCOTT HILLS WAY CYGAN, MICHAEL T ALEXANDRIA, VA 22315 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2855

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/665,767	CARNAHAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Cygan	2855
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-8,10-21,23-29,31,34-36 and 38-45.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		
	ga July	Michael Cygan Examiner Art Unit: 2855

Applicati n No. 009/665,767

. Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The introduction of the limitations "without further processing" and "rapid mixing cell" raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claimed invention remains obvious in view of the applied prior art.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's remarks concerning the requirement of the PTO to respond to applicant's arguments are based on the requirement that the rejection is merely repeated. However, the rejections in the Office Action filed 03 August 2003 did not merely repeat the previous rejections; as the claims were amended, the grounds of rejection expressed in the Office Action were different that of the immediately previous Office Action. Therefore, the applicant's arguments were addressed in the substance of the rejections, and no separate discussion was required since the rejection was not a repetition of a previous rejection. Furthermore the references referred to on page 20 of the response have been made of record in the required manner as can be seen from the prosecution history.